| ~ |
|-----|
| CD |
| g |
| (2) |
| - |
| |
| *** |
| .# |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

NAME:



NOTES TO JUDGES


This form is intended to accomplish the following aims:

- A) Promote scoring consistency among a wide assortment of replicated aircraft.
- improvements. critique of the model, giving direction for future B) Benefit the contestant with a specific and meaningful

Highlight areas of mismatch on the diagram at right. In scoring each box proceed as follows:

- and proceed to next box. best)?" Does the model match the documentation exactly (no deviations)? If so, enter a 10 in the box for that group 1) Ask yourself "Is this item Outstanding (the best of the
- 2) If not; ask yourself "What is missing or different that degrades it from being Outstanding?" Briefly note each right at the location of the discrepancy. discrepancy in the Comments and mark the diagram at
- the severity of the noted defect (Refer to Judges Guide for 3) Deduct in 1/2 point increments corresponding to additional details).
- maximum points enter the total points earned in the box 4) Subtract the total discrepancy points for each box from

ACCURACY OF OUTLINE



Comments: Guide for noting mismatches

| TOTAL | GEAR (Max 5 points) (Craftsmanship Only) | TAIL GROUP | FUSELAGE | WINGS |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Max 40 points | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 |
| | | | | |

Remember that an over-generous mark in even one box creates unfairness. It is unfair to everyone, even the recipient. A logical and honest mark is

| COMMENTS: | essential to exert contessant and the well being of our ab | acceptial to avone contestant and the well being of our sp | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| | 101 51 | 1 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Reg | |
|-----|--|
| # | |
| | |



NAME:

NOTES TO JUDGES

Event:


This form is intended to accomplish the following aims

- A) Promote scoring consistency among a wide assortment of replicated aircraft.
- B) Benefit the contestant with a specific and future improvements. meaningful critique of the model, giving direction for

Highlight areas of mismatch on the diagram at right. in scoring each box proceed as follows:

- that group and proceed to next box. exactly (no deviations)? If so, enter a 10 in the box for the best)?" Does the model match the documentation 1) Ask yourself "Is this item Outstanding (the best of
- right at the location of the discrepancy discrepancy in the Comments and mark the diagram at degrades it from being Outstanding?" Briefly note each 2) If not, ask yourself "What is missing or different that
- 3) Deduct in 1/2 point increments corresponding to for additional details). the severity of the noted defect (Refer to Judges Guide
- 4) Subtract the total discrepancy points for each box from maximum points - enter the total points earned in

CRAFTSMANSHIP



Comments: Guide for noting mismatches

| TOTAL | LANDING GEAR (Max 5 points) (Craftsmanship Only) | TAIL GROUP | FUSELÄGE | WINGS |
|-----------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Max 35points | OUTSTANDING 5 EXCELLENT 4 GOOD 2-3 FAIR 0-1 | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 | EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 |
| | | | | |

Remember that an over-generous mark in even one box creates unfairness, it is unfair to everyone, even the recipient. A logical and honest mark is

COMMENTS: essential to every contestant and the well being of our sport.

| Reg.# |
|-------|
| - |
| |

NAME:

NOTES TO JUDGES

Event:


This form is intended to accomplish the following aims:

- assortment of replicated aircraft. A) Promote scoring consistency among a wide
- critique of the model, giving direction for future B) Benefit the contestant with a specific and meaningful improvements.

Highlight areas of mismatch on the diagram at right. In scoring each box proceed as follows:

- group and proceed to next box. (no deviations)? If so, enter a 10 in the box for that best)?" Does the model match the documentation exactly 1) Ask yourself "Is this item Outstanding (the best of the
- discrepancy in the Comments and mark the diagram at right at the location of the discrepancy degrades it from being Outstanding?" Briefly note each 2) If not; ask yourself "What is missing or different that
- for additional details). 3) Deduct in 1/2 point increments corresponding to the severity of the noted defect (Refer to Judges Guide
- 4) Subtract the total discrepancy points for each box from maximum points - enter the total points earned in

FINISH COLOR AND **MARKINGS**



Guide for noting mismatches

| 1 | 一片 | 7.6 |
|---------------|------|-----|
| 1 | e.Ch | 0 |
| 7 | - | 7. |
| Piper J-3 Cub | | h |
| | | |

Comments:

| TOTAL | MARKINGS | COLORS (Max 5 points) | FINISH |
|------------------|--|---|--|
| Max 25 points | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 | OUTSTANDING 5 EXCELLENT 4 GOOD 2-3 FAIR 0-1 | OUTSTANDING 10 EXCELLENT 9 GOOD 7-8 FAIR 0-6 |
| | | | |

Comments:

Remember that an over-generous mark in even one box creates unfairness. It is unfair to everyone, even the recipient. A logical and honest mark is COMMENTS: essential to every contestant and the well being of our sport.

NAME______



ProAm Static

Contestant must provide some form of documentation proving that his her entry is an example of a full size man carrying aircraft. If there is no documentation, the static score will be zero.

| AIRCRAFT: Score: Five Points or Zero (circle) | Soor | AIRO |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| or Zero (circle) | e: Five Points | AIRORAFT: |
| Zero (circle) | 악 | |
| | Zero (circle) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Judge Signature_